INTRODUCTION

In plants, reactive oxygen species (ROS) are continuously produced as byproducts of various metabolic pathways that are localized in different cellular compartments (19). Under physiological steady-state conditions, these molecules are scavenged by different antioxidative defense components that are often confined to particular compartments (2). The balance between production and scavenging of ROS may be perturbed by a number of adverse environmental factors. As a result of these disturbances, intracellular levels of ROS may rapidly rise (18, 36, 52, 64). External conditions that adversely affect the plants can be biotic, imposed by other organisms, or abiotic, arising from an excess or deficit in the physical or chemical environment.

In the presence of transition metal ions, hydrogen peroxide may be reduced by superoxide to hydroxyl radicals. Since there are no known scavengers of hydroxyl radicals, the only way to avoid oxidative damage through this radical would be to control the reactions that lead to its generation. Thus, cells had to evolve sophisticated strategies to keep the concentrations of superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and transition metal ions such as Fe^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, and Cu^{2+} under tight control.

(a) Nonenzymatic antioxidants include ascorbate and glutathione (GSH), but also tocopherol, flavonoids, alkaloids, and carotenoids. Ascorbate and GSH are major cellular redox buffers. Mutants with decreased ascorbic acid levels (8) or altered GSH content (24) are hypersensitive to stress.

(b) Enzymatic ROS scavenging mechanisms in plants include superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), and catalase (CAT). SODs act as the first line of defense against ROS, dismutating superoxide to H_{2}O_{2}. APX, GPX, and CAT subsequently detoxify H_{2}O_{2}. Unlike most other organisms, plants have multiple genes encoding SOD and APX isoforms that are specifically targeted to chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes, as well as to the cytosol and apoplast (5).

ROS SIGNALING IN PLANTS

Rapid progress has been made in recent years in defining ROS as a major signal in diverse biological processes in plants. ROS can react with a large variety of biomolecules that can cause irreversible damage and lead to necrosis and death (22, 55). On the other hand, ROS can influence the expression of a number of genes and signal transduction pathways, suggesting that cells have evolved strategies to utilize ROS as signals that control various biological programs (10). ROS are ideally suited to act as such signaling molecules...
TABLE 1.  HALF-LIFE AND DIFFUSION DISTANCES FOR PROMINENT ROS SIGNALS THAT ARE OF BIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROS</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
<th>Diffusion distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{1}O_2$</td>
<td>1.4 µsec</td>
<td>0.8 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_2^-$</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2O_2$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\cdot$OH</td>
<td>1 – 0.01 µsec</td>
<td>0.5 µm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

since they are small and can diffuse over short distances (Table 1). Among the different ROS, only $H_2O_2$ can cross plant membranes and can therefore directly function in cell-to-cell signaling. Moreover, cells have evolved several mechanisms for rapid and controllable ROS production and removal. This concept requires cells to possess specific ROS sensors that process and translate this information into the respective biological output programs. In several systems, it has been shown that ROS can modulate the activity of specific transcription factors, thereby directly affecting gene expression. In addition, nonenzymatically generated ROS oxidation products may act as second messengers that trigger biological responses. For example, polyunsaturated fatty acids are a preferred target of ROS attack, and several lipid oxidation products are biologically active in that they can change gene expression (25). ROS can also modulate gene expression by changing the cellular redox state. Changes in the redox state of chloroplasts strongly affect organellar enzyme activities and gene expression programs. Although many aspects of ROS signaling are still unclear, distinct modes of ROS action have been identified in different processes and will be discussed here.

ROS AND STOMATA

Recent work has shown ROS to be essential signals mediating stomatal closure induced by ABA (Fig. 1 and Table 2). The phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) accumulates in response to dehydration and induces a range of stress adaptation responses including stomatal closure. Earlier work had shown that $H_2O_2$ induces stomatal closure (39) and that guard cells synthesize ROS in response to elicitor challenge (1, 34). $H_2O_2$ is an endogenous component of ABA signaling in Arabidopsis guard cells. ABA-stimulated ROS accumulation induces stomatal closure via activation of plasma membrane calcium channels (50). ABA-induced ROS synthesis also occurs in Vicia faba (70), where ROS production takes place at the plasma membrane and in the chloroplast. This study indicates the complexity of ROS signaling in this system. Various Arabidopsis mutants have been used to dissect ABA and ROS signaling in guard cells. The gca2 (guard cell associated protein 2) mutant displays normal ABA-induced ROS production, but no $H_2O_2$-induced calcium channel activation, nor stomatal closure (50).

Protein phosphorylation is also involved in guard cell signaling, as shown by analysis of the ABA-insensitive abi1 and abi2 mutants (Fig. 1). ABI1 and ABI2 encode protein phosphatase 2C enzymes, whose phosphatase activities are strongly reduced in the abi1 and abi2 point mutants. Whereas ABA-induced ROS generation was absent in abi1, abi2 mutants synthesized ROS but could not respond to $H_2O_2$ (45). Therefore, ABI1 may act upstream and ABI2 downstream of ROS signaling.

In an elegant screen for mutants affected in drought-induced stomatal closure, OST1 (open stomata 1) was identified. Positional cloning and mutant analysis characterized OST1 as a protein kinase that functions between ABA perception and ROS signaling of stomata regulation (46). OST1 is activated by ABA in guard cell protoplasts of wild type but not of ostl plants. Moreover, ABA-induced ROS synthesis was absent from ostl plants, although ostl stomata still closed in response to $H_2O_2$. The plasma membrane-located NADPH oxidases ArtrhD and ArtrhF were found to be required for ABA-induced stomatal ROS production (33); and mutant plants in these NADPH oxidases were similarly defective in stomatal closure as ostl plants. These findings suggest that OST1 regulates ROS production via these NADPH oxidases.

It was recently found that ethylene receptor 1 (ETR1), a member of the two-component histidine kinase family that functions in ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis, is also involved in ROS signaling of stomata (16). In prokaryotes and fungi, two-component systems are well-known redox sensors (54, 68). In prokaryotes, two-component systems usually consist of a histidine kinase that senses the signal and a response regulator that functions as transcription factor. The transmembrane sensory kinase functions through its capacity to autophosphorylate a histidine residue in response to the presence or absence of an external stimulus. The phosphoryl group is subsequently transferred from the histidine to an aspartate residue in the response regulator. The induced conformational change in the response regulator alters its DNA
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Table 2. Genes Involved in Arabidopsis ROS Signaling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Process affected</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Protein function</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abi1 point mutant</td>
<td>Stomatal closure</td>
<td>No ROS generation upon ABA</td>
<td>PP2C</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abi2 point mutant</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal ROS generation upon ABA but no response to H2O2</td>
<td>PP2C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rbohD and AtrbohF</td>
<td></td>
<td>No ROS generation upon ABA</td>
<td>Plasma membrane-located NADPH oxidases</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ostI no kinase activity</td>
<td></td>
<td>No ABA-induced ROS synthesis but normal stomatal closure upon H2O2</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etr1 C65S point</td>
<td></td>
<td>Completely abrogates ROS signaling in stomata</td>
<td>Two-component histidine kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etr1 lacking C-term. kinase domain</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal ROS signaling, no ethylene signaling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gca2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal ROS generation upon ABA but no H2O2-induced calcium channel activation and stomatal closure</td>
<td>Homology to calmodulin-binding protein NADPH oxidase</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhd2</td>
<td>Root hair development</td>
<td>No ROS in the growing tips</td>
<td>Protein kinase</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxi1 T-DNA k.o.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fewer and shorter root hairs</td>
<td>Protein kinase</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITRXX silencing (tomato)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No MPK3,6 activation upon ox stress</td>
<td>Thioredoxin</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>npr1</td>
<td>SAR control</td>
<td>No SA- or pathogen-induced PR gene expression</td>
<td>Redox-sensitive transcription factor</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rbohD and rbohF T-DNA k.o.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced ROS generation and PCD following bacterial challenge</td>
<td>NADPH oxidase</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

binding affinity and thereby promotes gene expression of certain promoters. In budding and fission yeast, two component histidine kinases can function as sensors of oxidative stress, but modify gene expression through activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase signal transduction cascades (Fig. 2 and Ref. 60). Similar to fungi, plants also contain a number of two-component histidine kinases that are not found in the animal kingdom (29).

Interestingly, ethylene and ROS seem to activate ETR1 by distinct mechanisms. ETR1 carries an N-terminal input domain that is separated from a kinase domain by a hydrophobic transmembrane region. The response regulatory domain is also located at the C-terminal cytoplasmic face of ETR1. Point mutation and deletion analyses revealed that a change of cysteine-65 to tyrosine in ETR1 completely abrogates ROS signaling in stomata, strongly suggesting that this particular cysteine residue might be involved in ROS sensing by ETR1. Interestingly, the C-terminal kinase domain of ETR1 is dispensable for ROS but not for ethylene signaling. These results suggest that ROS and ethylene signaling might involve interaction with different downstream partners. Considering that the kinase domain of ETR1 is not required for ROS sensing in plants, it is surprising that ETR1 can functionally replace yeast double mutants lacking the ROS sensing histidine kinase Snl1 and the downstream response regulator Ssk1 (Fig. 2 and Ref. 16). For budding yeast, it has been shown that signaling requires the kinase activity of Snl1 for transferring a phosphate group (P) to the response regulator Ssk1 via the intermediate Ypd1. Activated Ssk1 triggers a MAPK cascade leading to changes in expression of target genes. Stress signals (e.g., ROS) prevent Snl1 autophosphorylation, thus retaining the cascade in an inactive state.

FIG. 2. Two-component system in budding yeast. Upon binding of an unknown extracellular signal (X), Snl1 changes to an autophosphorylated active form, which mediates the transfer of a phosphate group (P) to the response regulator Ssk1 via the intermediate Ypd1. Activated Ssk1 triggers a MAPK cascade leading to changes in expression of target genes. Stress signals (e.g., ROS) prevent Snl1 autophosphorylation, thus retaining the cascade in an inactive state.
phosphoryl group via the intermediary component Ypd1 to the response regulator Ssk1. Stress inhibits autophosphorylation of Slp1, resulting in accumulation of nonphosphorylated Ssk1, which can activate the mitogen-activated protein kinase HOG1 cascade (27). Further investigations are needed to clarify the differences in the ROS signaling mechanisms in plants and yeast.

**ROS AND ROOT HAIR DEVELOPMENT**

Root hairs assist water and nutrient uptake from the soil and help to anchor the plant in the soil. Because root hairs are not essential for plant growth under laboratory conditions, they have become an attractive model to study cell polarity and development in plants. Recently, root hair defective 2 (rd2), an Arabidopsis mutant forming root hair bulges but no elongated root hairs, was found to be defective in NADPH oxidase (20). In this study, ROS were localized in the growing tips of root hairs of wild-type plants but not in the root hair bulges of the rd2 mutant. Possibly, NADPH oxidase is controlled via small GTPases of the Rop family in analogy to mammalian cells, where the small GTPase Rac regulates NADPH oxidase and ROS production (69). Two recent reports (4, 56) have revealed that OXI1 (oxidative stress inducible 1) belonging to the AGC family of protein kinases is involved in root hair growth. Mutant plants defective in OXI1 show a strong reduction in number and length of root hairs. OXI1 kinase activity is induced by phosphatidic acid (4) and by hydrogen peroxide (56), and both factors have been shown to be regulating root hair growth. A T-DNA oxil null mutant is impaired in the activation of the two Arabidopsis MAPKs, MPK3 and MPK6, upon oxidative stress (56), suggesting that OXI1 functions downstream of ROS but upstream of the MAPK module. Interestingly, a previous study had already linked the stress-induced Medicago MAPK SIMK, a close homolog of Arabidopsis MPK6, to root hair growth. In this work, inhibition of MAPK activity resulted in abrogation of root hair growth, and expression of constitutively active SIMK was correlated with increased root hair length (59). These findings point to the existence of a ROS signaling pathway as a regulator of root hair development that depends on a number of distinct protein kinases.

**ROS AND Biotic Stress**

The importance of ROS in root hair growth and stomatal regulation has become clear by now. However, originally ROS were attributed a role in plant pathogen defense. Although cell wall-bound peroxidases and apoplastic amine oxidases also contribute to ROS production in response to pathogen attack, ROS are clearly produced during pathogen defense by the very same NADPH oxidases that regulate root hair growth (24, 28). In contrast to superoxide, H2O2 can diffuse into cells and activate many of the plant defenses, including PCD (programmed cell death) (11). During plant–pathogen interactions, the activities and levels of the ROS detoxifying enzymes APX and CAT are downregulated by SA and NO (31). Because the plant simultaneously produces more ROS while decreasing its ROS scavenging capacities, accumulation of ROS and activation of PCD occurs. The suppression of ROS detoxifying mechanisms is crucial for the onset of PCD. ROS production at the apoplast alone without suppression of ROS detoxification does not result in the induction of PCD (14, 41), indicating an absolute requirement for the coordinated production of ROS and downregulation of ROS scavenging mechanisms.

Induction of PCD is thought to potentially limit the spread of disease from the infection point. During incompatible reactions, when host defense responses including PCD are induced, H2O2 production occurs in a biphasic manner. The initial and very rapid accumulation of H2O2 is followed by a second and prolonged burst of H2O2 production. During compatible interactions, only the first peak of H2O2 accumulation occurs and the pathogen can systemically infect the host plant (6). The oxidative burst in pathogen-challenged Arabidopsis leaves was found to induce a subsequent burst in distal parts of the plant, leading to systemic immunity via the expression of defense-related genes (3). Although the oxidative burst is a primary response following pathogen challenge leading to PCD (7), and H2O2 was shown to induce PCD in various systems (15, 35, 61), in some cases H2O2 is apparently not sufficient (23) or not required (30) for PCD induction.

A certain exposure time of cells to H2O2 involving de novo transcription and translation is required for PCD to occur (15, 61). Pharmacological data indicate that removal of ROS during pathogen or elicitor challenge reduces PCD (15, 35), and tobacco plants with reduced CAT or APX expression levels show enhanced PCD upon exposure to low doses of bacteria (41). On the other hand, elicitor-challenged tobacco suspension cells undergo PCD independent of H2O2 production (30). Tobacco plants silenced for a catalase gene accumulate H2O2 during photosynthesis (65). Using high light (HL) conditions, endogenous H2O2 production can be achieved, leading to cell death. Short HL preexposure (1–2 h) triggered an acclimatory effect that protected the plant against subsequent long HL stress treatment. These findings suggest that whether H2O2 has a beneficial or harmful effect is dose-dependent and depends on when and how it occurs.

It is not clear yet to what extent PCD in plants and animals share similar mechanisms. Expression of the animal cell death suppressor genes Bel-11 and Ced-9 in tobacco plants suppressed oxidative stress-induced cell death (40). In animals, mitochondria play a primary role in ROS production and in triggering apoptosis. Mitochondrial ROS production has also been implicated in eliciting ROS-induced cell death in plants (37, 61). Although the majority of ROS in plants appears to be produced in chloroplasts and peroxisomes, Arabidopsis knock-out mutants lacking functional roboh genes display reduced ROS generation and PCD following bacterial challenge (62). These data clearly point to an essential role of membrane-located NADPH oxidases in pathogen defense in plants that is reminiscent of the function of these enzymes during apoptosis in animals.

Signaling through two-component histidine kinases is not the only way by which oxidative stress can be translated into MAPK cascade activation. An interesting mechanism has been uncovered in animals for the ROS-activated MAPK kinase ASK1. In unstimulated cells, ASK1 is kept inac-
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In plants, reactive oxygen species are continuously produced predominantly in chloroplasts, peroxisomes, and mitochondria. Production and removal of ROS must be strictly controlled. The equilibrium between production and scavenging of ROS, however, may be perturbed by a number of adverse abiotic stress factors such as high light, drought, and low or high temperatures (18, 36, 52, 64).

Whereas in mammalian cells the mitochondria are the major source of ROS, the relative contribution of mitochondria to ROS production in green tissues seems to be very low (53). One reason that plant mitochondria do not produce more ROS could be the presence of the alternative oxidase (AOX) that catalyzes the reduction of O$_2$ by ubiquinone. The AOX competes for electrons, and in this way may help to reduce ROS production in mitochondria. This suggestion has been supported by the findings that H$_2$O$_2$ induces the synthesis of AOX (67) and overproduction of AOX in transgenic cell lines reduces ROS production, whereas antisense cells with reduced levels of the alternative oxidase accumulate five times more ROS than control cells (38).

Oxygen is continuously produced during light-driven photosynthetic electron transport and at the same time removed from chloroplasts by reduction and assimilation. The formation of ROS during photosynthesis occurs by direct photoreduction of O$_2$ by reduced electron transport components associated with PSI and reactions linked to the photorespiratory cycle. When plants are exposed to light intensities that exceed the capacity of CO$_2$ assimilation, over-reduction of the electron transport chain leads to the inhibition of photosynthesis. To protect the photosynthetic apparatus against photoinhibition, thermal dissipation of excess excitation energy and transfer of electrons to various acceptors within the chloroplast occurs (49).

In general, the function of ROS during abiotic stresses appears to be opposite to that during pathogen defense. Upon abiotic stresses, ROS scavenging enzymes are induced to decrease the concentration of toxic intracellular ROS levels. The differences in the function of ROS between biotic and abiotic stresses might result from the action of hormones and crosstalk between different signaling pathways or from differences in the locations where ROS are produced and/or accumulate during different stresses. These considerations raise the question of how plants can regulate ROS production and scavenging mechanisms when they are exposed simultaneously to pathogen attack and abiotic stress. Evidence for the significance of such conflicting situations comes from experiments with tobacco plants that showed reduced PCD after exposure to oxidative stress (41). The oxidative stress pretreatment resulted in increased levels of ROS scavenging enzymes, thereby abrogating the plants' ability to build up sufficient ROS for inducing PCD. In accordance with this model, CAT overproducing plants show decreased resistance to pathogen infection (51), wounding (48), and high light treatment (44).

MAPK signaling modules are involved in eliciting responses to various signals including oxidative stresses (47). In Arabidopsis, H$_2$O$_2$ activates the MAPKs (MPK3 and MPK6) via the MAPK kinase (MAPKKK) ANP1 (32). Overexpression of ANP1 in transgenic plants resulted in increased tolerance to heat shock, freezing, and salt stress (32). H$_2$O$_2$ also increases expression of the Arabidopsis nucleotide diphosphate (NDP) kinase 2 (42). Overexpression of AtNDPK2 reduced accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ and enhanced tolerance to multiple stresses including cold, salt, and oxidative stress. The effect of NDPK2 might be mediated by the MAPKs, MPK3 and MPK6, because NDPK2 can interact and activate these MAPKs.

Because H$_2$O$_2$ is a mild oxidant that can oxidize thiol residues, it has been speculated that H$_2$O$_2$ is sensed via modification of thiol groups in certain proteins. Recent work has identified human protein tyrosine phosphatase PTP1B to be modified by H$_2$O$_2$ at the active site cysteine (66). Interest-
ingly, inactivation of PTP1B by H$_2$O$_2$ is reversible and can be brought about by incubation with glutathione. A similar regulation is likely to occur in plants because PTP1, an Arabidopsis PTP that can inactivate the Arabidopsis MPK6 can be inactivated by H$_2$O$_2$ (26).

OPEN QUESTIONS

An unavoidable consequence of adapting life to an oxygen-containing environment was the continuous production of ROS as toxic byproducts of metabolism. It is likely that the evolution of mechanisms for ROS detoxification evolved step by step with those for sensing and signaling of ROS at various sites where ROS were produced. Later cells had sophisticated mechanisms to produce and use ROS as secondary messengers for various tasks ranging from coping with environmental challenges to making developmental decisions. By uncovering novel biological roles for ROS, it becomes clear that our understanding of ROS signaling is more than superficial and that a number of questions awaits clarification. Among these questions is: How can a given ROS specify different biological responses? Do different signaling cascades exist for ROS originating from different cellular sites? Can different types of intracellular ROS induce distinct biological outputs in the same cellular system?
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ABBREVIATIONS

ABA, abscisic acid; ABI1,2, ABA-insensitive; AGC, cAMP/cGMP-dependent protein kinase; ANP1, NPK1-related protein kinase 1; AOX, alternative oxidase; APX, ascorbate peroxidase; ASK1, Arabidopsis serine/threonine kinase 1; AtrehoD,F, respiratory burst oxidase protein F; AVR9, avirulence-gene 9; BCL-XL, B-cell lymphoma; CAT, catalase; CED-9, cell death protein 9; CIFX9, C-F-9-interacting thoredoxin; ETR1, ethylene receptor 1; GCA2, guard cell associated protein 2; GPX, glutathione peroxidase; GSH, glutathione; H$_2$O$_2$, hydrogen peroxide; HL, high light; HOG1, high osmolarity glycerol 1; M(A)PK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MAPKK(K), MAP kinase kinase (kinase); NDKP2, nucleotide diphosphate kinase; NO, nitric oxide; NPK1, Nicotiana protein kinase 1; NPR1, nonexpressor of pathogenesis-related proteins 1; OST1, open stomata 1; OXI1, oxidative stress inducible 1; OxyR, oxidative stress transcriptional regulator; PCD, programmed cell death; P2P2C, protein phosphatase 2c; PR, pathogenesis-related; PTP1, protein tyrosine phosphatase 1; RHD2, root hair defective 2; ROP, Ras-homology-like small G protein; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SA, salicylic acid; SAR, systemic acquired resistance; SLN1, synthetic lethal of N-end rule 1; SOD, superoxide dismutase; SSK1, suppressor of sensor kinase 1; T-DNA, transfer DNA; TGA1, TGACG motif-binding transcription factor 1; YAP1, AP-1-like transcription factor 1; YPD1, tyrosine phosphatase dependent 1.
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