The \textit{TT8} Gene Encodes a Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Domain Protein Required for Expression of \textit{DFR} and \textit{BAN} Genes in Arabidopsis Siliques
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The \textit{TRANSPARENT TESTA8} (\textit{TT8}) locus is involved in the regulation of flavonoid biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. The \textit{tt8-3} allele was isolated from a T-DNA–mutagenized Arabidopsis collection and found to be tagged by an integrative molecule, thus permitting the cloning and sequencing of the \textit{TT8} gene. \textit{TT8} identity was confirmed by complementation of \textit{tt8-3} and sequence analysis of an additional allele. The \textit{TT8} gene encodes a protein that displays a basic helix-loop-helix at its C terminus and represents an Arabidopsis ortholog of the maize R transcription factors. The \textit{TT8} transcript is present in developing siliques and in young seedlings. The \textit{TT8} protein is required for normal expression of two flavonoid late biosynthetic genes, namely, \textit{DIHYDROFLAVONOL 4-REDUCTASE} (\textit{DFR}) and \textit{BANYULS} (\textit{BAN}), in Arabidopsis siliques. Interestingly, \textit{TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA1} (\textit{TTG1}) and \textit{TT2} genes also control the expression of \textit{DFR} and \textit{BAN} genes. Our results suggest that the \textit{TT8}, \textit{TTG1}, and \textit{TT2} proteins may interact to control flavonoid metabolism in the Arabidopsis seed coat.

\textbf{INTRODUCTION}

Flavonoids are derived from phenylalanine and malonyl-CoA and constitute one of the largest groups of secondary metabolites in plants. They are based on a 15-carbon skeleton, which can be modified to yield different subclasses, including flavonols, anthocyanins, and proanthocyanidins (Figure 1). In plants, flavonoid derivatives are responsible for the pigmentation pattern of vegetative parts and seeds and are involved in a wide range of biological functions. For example, they protect against UV radiation, serve as signal molecules in plant–microbe interactions, and participate in plant defense responses (reviewed in Dooner et al., 1991; Koes et al., 1994; Dixon and Paiva, 1995; Shirley, 1996). Recent studies have also stressed the involvement of flavonoids in seed coat–imposed dormancy as well as in seed storability (Winkel-Shirley, 1998; Debeaujon et al., 2000). Moreover, flavonoids are receiving increasing interest as health-promoting components of animal and human diets (Lairon and Amiot, 1999). Recent studies have also stressed the involvement of flavonoids in seed coat–imposed dormancy as well as in seed storability (Winkel-Shirley, 1998; Debeaujon et al., 2000). Moreover, flavonoids are receiving increasing interest as health-promoting components of animal and human diets (Lairon and Amiot, 1999). These diverse roles can be correlated, at least in part, with the well-documented antioxidant properties of phenylpropanoid derivatives, especially flavonoids (Rice-Evans et al., 1997), and with their inhibitory effect on enzymatic activities (Castelluccio et al., 1995).

Investigating the structure and regulation of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway in plants may thus help us to better understand and monitor flavonoid metabolism with regard to properties of the end products (Weisshaar and Jenkins, 1998). Flavonoid biosynthesis has been studied extensively by several methods, from protein purification to screening libraries with heterologous probes (reviewed in Holton and Cornish, 1995). The ubiquitous and nonessential nature of pigments for plant viability has made it possible to identify many flavonoid mutants, which has facilitated the genetic and molecular dissection of the pathway. To date, most of the enzymes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis have been characterized in several plant species, including maize, snapdragon, petunia (Holton and Cornish, 1995), and Arabidopsis (Shirley et al., 1995; Bharti and Khurana, 1997). The first three steps are catalyzed successively by chalcone synthase (CHS), chalcone isomerase (CHI), and flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H). Dihydrokaempferol can be subsequently hydroxylated by flavonoid 3′-hydroxylase (F3′H), giving rise to dihydroquercetin, or converted by the dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR), resulting in anthocyanin-type end products (Figure 1).

The accumulation of flavonoids within plants or seeds is subject to fine temporal and spatial control involving several levels of regulation (e.g., transcriptional or post-translational regulation) and diverse developmental stimuli or environmental...
Several major classes of transcription factors have been described: the R/B family, which shows sequence homology to the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) DNA binding/dimerization region found in animal MYC oncogene products (Ludwig et al., 1989), and the C1/Pi family, which encodes proteins with similarity to the DNA binding domain of the mammalian MYB proto-oncogene proteins (Cone et al., 1986; Paz-Ares et al., 1987). Both R- and C1-like proteins directly interact and bind as heterodimers to the promoter sequences of target genes (Goff et al., 1992). Genes encoding bHLH- and MYB-related proteins have also been found in dicots. For example, the DELILA gene of Antirrhinum specifies an R orthologous product that regulates floral anthocyanin pigmentation (Martin et al., 1991; Goodrich et al., 1992). Similarly, the Jaf13 and ANTHOCYANIN2 (AN2) genes control pigmentation of the petunia floral limb and encode bHLH and MYB proteins, respectively (Quattrocchio et al., 1998, 1999). Moreover, some of the regulatory genes have been shown to function when ectopically expressed in heterologous plant cells, demonstrating their functional homology. For instance, several maize R-like regulatory loci increase the amount of pigmentation as well as the expression of flavonoid genes in Arabidopsis, tobacco, and petunia (Lloyd et al., 1992; Bradley et al., 1998).

In recent studies with petunia, a novel WD40-type regulatory protein, which is encoded by the AN11 gene, has been characterized. This protein is thought to control anthocyanin gene expression through post-translational regulation of transcription factors (de Vetten et al., 1997).

However, despite the structural and functional similarities of flavonoid genes, plant pigmentation has a wide range of distribution patterns and biological functions, which suggests that fine-tuning regulation of target gene expression by a plant depends on its species (Mol et al., 1998). In some species, structural or regulatory genes belong to multigene families in which each of the paralogous members shows a specific spatial and temporal expression pattern. For example, the different CHS genes of morning glory have highly variable expression patterns (Durbin et al., 2000). Similarly, the different R and C1 alleles control organ, tissue, and cell specificity of anthocyanin deposition in maize (Ludwig and Wessler, 1990). On the other hand, variations in plant pigmentation patterns can be explained by the divergent evolution of the promoter sequences from target structural genes (Quattrocchio et al., 1998).

Unraveling flavonoid metabolism in the model plant Arabidopsis may provide new and invaluable information that will improve our understanding of the regulatory network. First, the Arabidopsis genome displays less redundancy and smaller multigene families than does maize or petunia. Indeed, in Arabidopsis, all but one of the characterized flavonoid enzymes, flavonol synthase (FLS), are encoded by single-copy genes. Second, biochemical analyses have been used to characterize Arabidopsis flavonoid end products. Flavonol and anthocyanin derivatives accumulate in vegetative parts, whereas flavonols and proanthocyanidins, essentially derived from dihydroquercetin, accumulate in the endothelium.
layer of the seed coat (Chapple et al., 1994) (Figure 1). Third, many Arabidopsis mutants impaired in flavonoid metabolism are available. Most of these mutants have been isolated on the basis of modified seed pigmentation and are therefore known as tt (for transparent testa) mutants (Koornneef, 1981, 1990). To date, 21 TT loci have been identified (N. Nesi, I. Debeaujon, C. Jond, M. Caboche, and L. Lepiniec, unpublished results), several of which have been characterized. The TT4 gene encodes the CHS enzyme, TT5 encodes CHI, TT6 encodes F3H, TT7 encodes F3’H (B. Winkel-Shirley, personal communication), and TT3 encodes DFR (Figure 1). Recently, a new gene, called BANYULS (BAN), was cloned and shown to encode a putative leucoanthocyanidin reductase (LAR) specifically involved in proanthocyanidin accumulation in the seed endothelium layer (Devic et al., 1999).

Concerning the regulation of flavonoid metabolism, Kubo et al. (1999) reported the cloning of a homeobox gene, ANTHOCYANINLESS2, that is involved in anthocyanin accumulation in leaves. In addition, TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA1 (TTG1) encodes a WD40 repeat protein (Walker et al., 1999) and may therefore represent an orthologous gene at the petunia AN11 locus. The TTG2 gene was shown to specify a transcription factor of the WRKY type (C. Johnson and D.R. Smyth, personal communication). Finally, additional analyses also suggest a regulatory role for the TT8 protein. Indeed, a slight reduction in pigmentation correlated with a decrease in DFR mRNA and an increase in C4H (cinnamate 4-hydroxylase) mRNA accumulation has been reported in young seedlings of the tt8-1 mutant (Shirley et al., 1995; Bell-Lelong et al., 1997).

In our laboratory, the screening of the Versailles T-DNA-mutagenized Arabidopsis collection (Bechtold et al., 1993) led to the identification of 22 independent insertional lines showing a modification of seed coat pigmentation (N. Nesi, I. Debeaujon, C. Jond, M. Caboche, and L. Lepiniec, unpublished results). In this study, we report the genetic and molecular characterization of a new T-DNA–tagged tt8 allele. The cloning of the TT8 gene revealed that it encodes a bHLH domain protein with strong similarity to R-related maize genes. Additional experiments also demonstrated that TT8 modulates the expression of two flavonoid late structural genes, namely, DFR and BAN, supporting a major role of the TT8 protein in the flavonoid regulatory network. Finally, we show that two other TT genes, TTG1 and TT2, are also required for normal expression of DFR and BAN genes in Arabidopsis siliques.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation and Genetic Characterization of a New tt8 Allele**

As part of our strategy to identify genetic loci controlling flavonoid metabolism in the seed coat, we visually examined mutagenized Arabidopsis populations for individuals with modified seed pigmentation. The deb122 mutant was identified among the T3 progeny of one of 15,000 T-DNA lines from the Versailles T-DNA mutant collection. Seeds produced by deb122 plants were yellowish and easily distinguishable from brown wild-type seeds (Figure 2A). Reciprocal crosses between deb122 and wild-type plants revealed that 100% of the F1 seeds exhibited the phenotype conferred by the maternal plant genotype. Furthermore, all F2 seeds displayed the wild-type phenotype, and the F3 seeds segregated into deb122 and wild-type seeds with a ratio of ~1:3 (47 plants produced deb122 seeds among 193 plants; \( \chi^2 = 0.043 \), meaning that the result is significant with a risk of 5%). Together, these results are consistent with the inheritance of a recessive, monogenic, nuclear, and maternal trait conferring the deb122 mutation, which therefore resembles tt mutations. To test allelism with known TT loci, we monitored crosses between deb122 plants and several tt mutants. The deb122 line did not complement the tt8-1 mutation previously described by Bürger (1971). Given that an additional tt8 allele, tt8-2, had been identified among the Kranz and Röbbelen Arabidopsis Information Service mutant collection.

![Figure 2](image-url). **Phenotype of tt8 and Complemented Seeds.**

(A) Seeds of the deb122 mutant (right) of Arabidopsis compared with those of the wild-type genotype (left). Mutant and wild-type genotypes are both from the Wassilewskija-2 (Ws-2) ecotype. (B) T2 progeny of a tt8-3 (deb122) homozygous plant transformed with the pBIB-Hyg T-DNA binary vector carrying the DEB122 genomic region. Bars = 300 µm.
(Koornneef, 1990), the new allele in deb122 line was thus named tt8-3.

**The tt8-3 Mutation Cosegregates with a Single T-DNA Insertion**

Because the tt8-3 line was obtained from a T-DNA–mutagenized population, we first checked whether the mutant phenotype cosegregated with a single T-DNA copy, which would permit subsequent molecular isolation of the **TT8** gene. The T-DNA used to generate the Versailles collection carries a kanamycin resistance marker for the selection of transgenic plants (Bouchez et al., 1993). The analysis of F_{2} plantlets from a cross between plants with homozygous tt8-3 and wild-type genotypes revealed that the T-DNA insertion segregated as a single locus: 3502 kanamycin-resistant plantlets and 1117 kanamycin-sensitive plantlets represent a segregation ratio of 3:1 ($\chi^2 = 1.64$, meaning that the result is significant with a risk of 5%). In addition, all the homozygous tt8-3/tt8-3 plants carried a kanamycin resistance marker, whereas none of the homozygous **TT8/TT8** plants was kanamycin resistant, suggesting that the tt8-3 mutation was tightly linked to the T-DNA inserted at the DEB122 locus. Furthermore, probing genomic DNA from homozygous tt8-3 plants by DNA gel blot hybridization with different T-DNA–derived probes indicated that a single full-length T-DNA copy was present in the genome of the mutant (data not shown). From the above genetic and molecular analyses, we assumed that the tt8-3 allele carries a single T-DNA copy. Thus, we isolated genomic DNA fragments flanking the T-DNA insert in line tt8-3 by using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) walking approach (Devic et al., 1997).

Using PCR, we amplified 600 bp and 1.8 kb of tt8-3 plant genomic DNA flanking the right and left T-DNA borders, respectively. Primers deb122RF_{R} and deb122LB_{L} were then designed from the right and left genomic T-DNA borders and used to amplify a 954-bp fragment (called the deb122 probe) from wild-type genomic DNA. Sequence comparison of this PCR product with both genomic T-DNA borders showed that T-DNA integration into the tt8-3 genome resulted in a deletion of 29 bp of plant genomic DNA. The deb122 probe was then used to screen the Institut für Genbiologische Forschung Arabidopsis bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library (Mozo et al., 1998). Thus, we identified six overlapping BAC clones (F17A8, F21A7, F23D16, F14E11, F13G23, and F22O14) assigned to chromosome 4, which is consistent with the mapping of the **TT8** locus (Shirley et al., 1995). The positive BAC F17A8 (GenBank accession number AL049482) was chosen for the following studies because its full sequence was established and annotated during the progress of this work (Bevan et al., 1998). Database searches revealed that ~4 kb of the F17A8 sequence (positions 80,383 to 84,577; see Figure 3A), including the deb122 probe, encodes a putative protein (CA396499) that is similar to known bHLH transcription factors.

**Complementation of the tt8-3 Insertional Mutation with a Genomic Clone**

To demonstrate the complementation of the phenotype of the tt8 mutant by ectopic expression of the wild-type DEB122 gene, we transformed homozygous tt8-3 plants with a genomic construct derived from the F17A8 clone. For this purpose, an 8-kb HindIII genomic fragment containing the DEB122 region was inserted into the binary vector pBIB-Hyg to form the pBIB-Hyg-8 construct (see Figure 3A). This construct was introduced into the tt8-3 mutant by way of Agrobacterium. Fifty-one hygromycin-resistant transformants were recovered, and for all of them, T_{2} progeny produced brown-colored seeds (Figure 2B), indicating that the seed phenotype of tt8-3 had reverted to that of the wild type. All transformants were also kanamycin resistant, which confirmed that they carried the original T-DNA copy. In addition, the 51 transformants exhibited different segregation patterns on hygromycin selection medium (data not shown), indicating that they were derived from independent transformation events. These results provide strong evidence that the genomic insert from pBIB-Hyg-8 contained the **TT8** gene.

**Structural Analysis of the TT8 Locus**

The sequence of the genomic insert from pBIB-Hyg-8 was submitted to the Eukaryotic GeneMark.hmm program available at [http://dixie.biology.gatech.edu/GeneMark/eukhmm.cgi](http://dixie.biology.gatech.edu/GeneMark/eukhmm.cgi) to predict the putative gene structure. The algorithm suggested the presence of a single gene containing five introns. These predictions allowed us to design specific oligonucleotide primers for the cloning of the full-length TT8 cDNA by PCR amplification.

We first conducted a primer extension analysis to map in fine detail the transcription start site of the **TT8** gene and to confirm the likely translation initiation codon (ATG). The major reverse transcription product (data not shown) allowed us to localize the transcription start site 44 bp upstream of the putative translation start codon, as shown in Figure 4. The 5′ untranslated region (UTR) contains no other ATG codon, which confirms the position of the first Met residue. A putative TATA box was found 29 bp upstream of the transcription start site. The 3′ UTR was amplified from an Arabidopsis silique cDNA library (Giraudat et al., 1992), as described in Methods. Sequencing six independent clones yielded two types of polyadenylation sites (Figure 4). Primers deb122-5′ and deb122-3′ (Figure 3B) were then designed to amplify by PCR the longer of the two TT8 transcripts. A 1833-bp PCR fragment was obtained, corresponding to a 1557-bp coding region surrounded by 44 and 232 bp of 5′ and 3′ UTRs, respectively. The full-length cDNA sequence has DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession number AJ277509. Alignment of the genomic and cDNA sequences showed that exon 4 was missed by the gene structure pre-
TT8 Encodes a bHLH Domain Protein

The TT8 Gene Encodes a bHLH Domain Protein

The open reading frame of the TT8 cDNA specifies a 518-amino acid protein with a calculated molecular mass of 59.2 kD. A putative nuclear localization signal (amino acids 355 to 371) was recognized by using the PSORT algorithm (Nakai and Kanehisa, 1992). Using the BLAST algorithm (Altschul...
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et al., 1990) to compare the TT8 amino acid sequence with translations of DNA sequences from various organisms revealed that the TT8 protein belongs to the broad range of MYC-related proteins from animals and plants. Indeed, a typical bHLH signature was found near the C terminus of the TT8 sequence. The motif generally consists of a basic region (14 amino acids) and two α helices separated by a loop of variable length. The bHLH structure and most of its invariant amino acid residues are conserved in TT8 (E-368, R-369, R-371, L-375, N-376, F-379, L-382, R-383, V-386, P-387, K-391, K-394, I-397, L-398, Y-404, V-405, and L-408), except for two R/K residues that are substituted in all plant bHLH proteins (Figure 5A). Similarities between the TT8 protein and animal MYC-type sequences are limited to the bHLH motif, as described previously for other plant bHLH proteins (Ludwig et al., 1989; Goodrich et al., 1992).

The sequence relationships among plant bHLH proteins are illustrated in Figure 5B. Parsimonious analyses performed by using PAUP software and the PROTPARS matrix (see Methods) gave a very similar relationship (data not shown). This suggests that the tree also represents phylogenetic relationships. TT8 appears to be closely related to the maize INTENSIFIER1 (IN1) protein, which had been described as a putative repressor of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway in the maize aleurone (Burr et al., 1996). TT8 and IN1 form a subclass that seems to be distantly related to the major phylogenetic group, including all other known bHLH proteins involved in flavonoid metabolism. This latter group also includes Arabidopsis AtMYC1 and AtMYC146, whose biological functions in plants have not been reported (Urao et al., 1996; Bate and Rothstein, 1997).

The strong similarity between TT8 and IN1 is particularly obvious when full sequences are compared (Figure 5C). Apart from the bHLH domain, the N-terminal region shows high similarities among plant bHLH proteins. In this region (amino acids 20 to 190 in the TT8 sequence), TT8 exhibits 59% amino acid identity with IN1, 49% with B-Peru, and 52% with DELILA. In maize, the N terminus of the B-Peru protein is assumed to be involved in the protein–protein interactions with the C1 MYB-related factors (Goff et al., 1992).

Figure 4. Nucleotide and Deduced Amino Acid Sequences of the TT8 cDNA.

Uppercase letters indicate the extent of the full-length TT8 transcript (GenBank accession number AJ277509); the untranscribed 5' region is in lowercase letters. The amino acid residues derived from the translation of this sequence are shown (one-letter code) below the corresponding codons. The functional translation stop site (TAG) is marked with an asterisk. Position 307 shows an amino acid polymorphism related to the Arabidopsis ecotype. A putative nuclear localization signal is shown in boldface above the bHLH domain, and the bHLH domain is boxed in black. The presumed TATA box is underlined, and the intron positions are shown by arrowheads. The arrows indicate the two polyadenylation sites found, and the corresponding putative polyadenylation signals are underlined by the dashed lines.
Analysis of tt8 Alleles

To gain insight into the nature of tt8 mutations, we determined the accumulation of TT8 mRNA in siliques of tt8-3 and tt8-1 in comparison with the parental ecotypes (Ws-2 and En-2, respectively). Because TT8 transcripts were not detected by RNA gel blot analyses (data not shown), we used a reverse transcription–PCR (RT-PCR) approach. As a positive control, we also monitored the accumulation of EF1αA4 transcripts, encoding a translation elongation factor of Arabidopsis (Liboz et al., 1990). No TT8 mRNA was detected in siliques of tt8-3 (Figure 6A, lane 2), demonstrating that the mutation in the T-DNA–tagged allele caused a complete loss of function. The T-DNA copy was found to be inserted into the second intron in tt8-3 genomic DNA (Figure 3B). On the other hand, TT8 transcripts were produced in tt8-1, which probably resulted from ethyl methanesulfonate mutagenesis (Koomneef, 1990). Therefore, we sequenced the RT-PCR products from wild-type En-2 and tt8-1 plants (Figure 6A, lanes 3 and 4, respectively). Apparently the sixth intron was not spliced in the tt8-1 transcript because of a G-to-A nucleotide change at position +1874 of the gene (Figure 3B). This base transition led to the loss of the GT splicing consensus site, thus preventing the production of correct transcripts in tt8-1. Because no stop codon occurs within the translated intron, the resulting protein should be 28 amino acids longer than the wild-type protein (Figure 6B).

The TT8 Gene Is Expressed throughout Seed Development and in Young Seedlings

The expression pattern of the TT8 gene was investigated in different wild-type tissues and in siliques during seed development by using a quantitative RT-PCR strategy. Primers deb122RB1 and deb122LB1 (Figure 3B) were used to amplify a 780-bp fragment from TT8 transcripts. As shown in Figure 7A, the TT8 transcripts were detected in 4-day-old seedlings, buds, flowers, and developing siliques. No signal was observed in rosette leaves, stems, and roots, even when PCR amplification was extended to 40 cycles (data not shown). Monitoring the expression of the above-mentioned flavonoid EBGs gave similar results. Concerning flavonoid LBGs, TT8 mRNA accumulation was identical to that of the wild type (data not shown). LDOX mRNA accumulation was detected in flowers but not in buds and peaked at the globular stage (Figure 7B). The DFR gene was therefore designated as a flavonoid “late” biosynthetic gene (LBG), as were the BAN and LDOX (for leucoanthocyanidin dioxygenase) transcripts (data not shown). The accumulation of TT8 mRNA during seed development just preceded and overlapped those of flavonoid LBGs but did not appear to be correlated with expression of phenylpropanoid genes and flavonoid EBGs (Figures 7A and 7B).

TT8 Is Required for Normal Expression of DFR and BAN, Two Flavonoid LBGs

We systematically tested the expression of all known flavonoid biosynthetic genes in siliques of the tt8-3 mutant and compared the expression patterns to those of the wild type. Figure 8 presents some results of this analysis conducted on globular embryo-stage siliques. At this stage, all flavonoid structural genes tested were highly expressed in wild-type plants (Figure 7). Under our conditions, siliques of the tt8-3 mutant exhibited wild-type amounts of C4H mRNA, unlike the seedlings (Bell-Lelong et al., 1997). Analysis of other phenylpropanoid genes—PAL1, 4CL1, and 4CL3—also revealed no significant variation in mRNA content between tt8-3 and the wild type (data not shown). Monitoring the expression of the above-mentioned flavonoid EBGs gave similar results. Concerning flavonoid LBGs, DFR transcripts were severely reduced in tt8-3 siliques, and no BAN transcripts could be detected at all (Figure 8), whereas LDOX mRNA accumulation was identical to that of the wild type (data not shown).

Expression of DFR and BAN Genes also Depends on TTG1 and TT2 Genes

Further expression analyses were conducted to compare the effects of tt8 mutations on flavonoid metabolism with those of ttg1 and tt2, both of which modify the pattern of seed pigmentation. TTG1 encodes a WD40 repeat protein (Walker et al., 1999). With respect to flavonoid accumulation in different organs, TT2 was assumed to encode a seed-specific regulatory protein or a member of a differentially expressed structural enzyme family (Shirley et al., 1995). For our purpose, we used the ttg1-1 allele, which harbors a nonsense mutation (Walker et al., 1999), and the tt2-3 allele, a T-DNA null mutant isolated from the Versailles collection (N.
Figure 5. Deduced TT8 Amino Acid Sequence and Comparison with bHLH-Related Protein Sequences.

(A) Amino acid sequence comparison of the bHLH regions encoded by TT8 and several MYC-related proteins. Asterisks indicate amino acid residues found in MYC-related protein sequences from all eukaryotic organisms, and conserved amino acids are boxed in black. Dashes indicate gaps inserted to improve the alignment. Sequences shown are those from human c-MYC (GenBank accession number X00198), newt MRF-4 and NeuroD.
DISCUSSION

The TT8 Gene Encodes a New Arabidopsis bHLH Protein

During screening for seed color mutants, we isolated a new tt8 allele, called tt8-3, which is T-DNA tagged. The pigmentation patterns of the tt8 seeds and young seedlings are modified, suggesting that the TT8 gene is involved in flavonoid metabolism. In this study, we report the genetic and molecular analyses of tt8 mutations and the cloning of the TT8 gene. The corresponding protein displays the typical features of a transcription factor with a bHLH signature at its C terminus, a domain found in all animal c-MYC–related proteins (Massari and Murre, 2000). Two tt8 alleles are characterized. In the tt8-3 mutant, insertion of a T-DNA copy into the second intron led to a complete loss of function (i.e., no transcript was produced). One can hypothesize that T-DNA insertion may disturb the structural characteristics of the intron that are essential for correct splicing, such as AT-GC content or a branch site consensus sequence (Brown et al., 1996). Such T-DNA insertions were reported in many instances, including an insertion for one of the bar alleles (Devic et al., 1999). In tt8-1, a single nucleotide mismatch at the sixth exon/intron boundary induced the production of a mRNA 84 bp longer than that of the wild type. The additional 28-amino acid stretch is located just at the N terminus of the bHLH domain. Its presence may impair correct conformation of the whole protein and thus confer the phenotype of the tt8 mutant.

In plants, the first bHLH protein, Lc, has been reported for maize (Ludwig et al., 1989). Among plant bHLH proteins, TT8 belongs to the family of proteins involved in the control of plant flavonoid pigmentation. Indeed, the TT8 amino acid sequence is highly similar to those from maize R, bryophyte MYC-RP, Antirrhinum DELILA, and petunia Jaf13 proteins (Ludwig et al., 1989; Goodrich et al., 1992; Quattrocchio et al., 1998; Gong et al., 1999). This suggests a common evolutionary origin for all of these genes. Moreover, the significant conservation in the N-terminal region between TT8 and maize B-Peru raises the possibility that TT8 may interact with MYB-related proteins in Arabidopsis, as has been described for the maize factor (Goff et al., 1992). All of these findings strongly support the hypothesis that TT8 may act as a transcription factor that interacts with other proteins to modulate the expression of target genes.

Interestingly, distance analysis shows that two Arabidopsis bHLH proteins, namely, AtMYC1 (Urao et al., 1996) and AtMYC146 (Bate and Rothstein, 1997), belong to the flavonoid group, on the basis of their sequence features. However, their biological functions have not yet been determined. AtMYC1 transcripts are detected mainly in the tissues of developing silique, and to a lesser extent in leaves and stems (Urao et al., 1996); AtMYC146 accumulates in those same tissues (data not shown). Experiments will be undertaken to test whether these proteins could represent homologs of TT8 in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway.

Important information derived from the distance tree is that TT8 and the maize IN1 proteins both belong to the same subclass, which appears to be distantly related to other well-known pigmentation-related bHLH factors (Figure

Figure 5. (continued).

(X82836), Xenopus NeuroD (U28067), yeast CBF1 (M33620), maize IN1 (U57899), Antirrhinum DELILA (M84913), Arabidopsis RD22BP1 (AB000875), and Arabidopsis TT8 (this study).

(B) Distance analysis of several plant bHLH-related factor sequences recovered by using a BLAST algorithm on the GenBank and EMBL databases. The following bHLH protein sequences were used to build the tree (accession numbers are in parentheses): maize Lc (M26227), maize R-S (X15806), maize B-Peru (X57276), maize IN1 (U57899), maize MYC7E (AF061107), rice Ra (U39860), pea PG1 (U18348), Gerbera MYC1 (AJ007709), bryophyte MYC-RP (AB024050), Antirrhinum DELILA (M84913), petunia Jaf13 (AF020545), and Arabidopsis AtMYC1 (D83511), Arabidopsis AtMYC146 (AF013465), Arabidopsis RD22BP1 (AB000875), and Arabidopsis TT8 (this study). Sequences were aligned using ClustalX and manually adjusted. For tree construction, only the N terminus region and the bHLH domain were used, as marked in (C). The consensus tree presented was obtained by neighbor-joining analysis, bootstrapped with 1000 iterations by ClustalX, and drawn with the TreeView program. Bootstrap values are indicated at each branchpoint; branches with a bootstrap score < 850 were eliminated. Relative branch length (0.1) is indicated below the tree. Proteins in boldface have been reported to be involved in plant flavonoid metabolism.

(C) Sequence comparison between TT8 and three other bHLH proteins involved in plant flavonoid pigmentation. Identical amino acids are boxed in black, and similar amino acids are boxed in gray. Brackets delimit the bHLH region. Sequences used are from maize IN1 (GenBank accession number U57899), maize B-Peru (X57276), Antirrhinum DELILA (M84913) and Arabidopsis TT8 (this study). Asterisks above the sequences indicate amino acid residues used to build the distance tree shown in (B). Dashes were introduced to optimize alignment.
Remarkably, proteins from both monocots and dicots are found within the two above-mentioned subclasses, suggesting that two different bHLH proteins may have existed in the genome of the common ancestor. Another point worth emphasizing is that IN1 reportedly acts as a negative regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis in maize aleurone. Indeed, homozygous in1 kernels are black as a result of anthocyanin overaccumulation, in comparison with the deep purple pigmentation of wild-type seeds. The in1 mutation may activate genes involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis, as proposed by Burr et al. (1996). The role of IN1, however, appears to differ from that of TT8, which is assumed to be a positive regulator of flavonoid metabolism based on the phenotype of the tt8 mutant. One possible explanation for this apparent discrepancy is that the black pigmentation observed in in1 mutant kernels may reflect a metabolic rechanneling rather than a direct effect of the mutation on an anthocyanin-negative regulatory gene. This would be similar to Arabidopsis ban mutants, the immature seeds of which are pink as a result of accumulated anthocyanin end product in the endothelium layer of the seed coat. Devic et al. (1999) demonstrated that the BAN gene does not encode a negative regulator of anthocyanin production, as Albert et al. (1997) first suggested, but rather encodes a putative LAR, which leads to proanthocyanidin accumulation, or a positive regulator of such an enzyme. As a consequence, mutations affecting the BAN gene alter LAR activity, thus directing the biosynthetic pathway to the production of purple anthocyanins rather than brown proanthocyanidins.

**TT8 Is a Regulator of “Late” Flavonoid Metabolism**

Analysis of TT8 gene expression revealed that TT8 transcripts accumulate in 4-day-old seedlings. This accumulation closely matches the transient developmental peak in flavonoids observed in young seedlings (Kubasek et al., 1992). TT8 was also observed in developing siliques, where accumulation of flavonoid pigments has been reported during the early stages of seed formation (Chapple et al., 1994; Devic et al., 1999). In addition, previous works have suggested that the tt8-1 mutation modifies the steady state amount of DFR mRNAs in young seedlings of Arabidopsis, whereas no effect was observed on the expression of CHS, CHI, F3H, FLS, and LDOX genes (Shirley et al., 1995; Pelletier and Shirley, 1996; Pelletier et al., 1997).

To extend these observations to seeds, we systematically analyzed the expression of all known flavonoid biosynthetic genes in siliques of tt8-3. For this, we first investigated the expression pattern of flavonoid biosynthetic genes during seed development and demonstrated that the accumulation of CHS, CHI, F3H, FLS1, and F3H transcripts precedes that of DFR, BAN, and LDOX. According to Kubasek et al. (1992), flavonoid structural genes in the first group were therefore classified as “early” genes and those in the second group as “late” genes. Remarkably, the order of induction nearly follows the order of biosynthetic steps within the flavonoid pathway (Figure 1) and fits with the results obtained from Arabidopsis seedlings (Kubasek et al., 1992; Pelletier and Shirley, 1996; Pelletier et al., 1997).

Considering the tt8-3 mutant, our results clearly demonstrate that TT8 is not necessary for the expression of flavonoid EBGs in siliques. However, the mRNA amounts of two flavonoid late structural genes, DFR and BAN, are markedly affected in tt8-3 siliques. The expression of DFR is reduced in siliques of tt8-3, supporting the previous results.
TT8 encodes a bHLH domain protein obtained with young seedlings (Shirley et al., 1995). Other plant bHLH factors also affect the expression of DFR orthologous genes in snapdragon, petunia, and moss (Martin et al., 1991; Goodrich et al., 1992; Quattrrocchio et al., 1998; Gong et al., 1999), which suggests a common, conserved regulatory mechanism among plants. Moreover, no BAN transcript could be detected in tt8-3 siliques. This result is consistent with the fact that TT8 is epistatic to BAN (Albert et al., 1997) and with the finding that no catechins accumulate in tt8 seeds (Debeaujon et al., 2000). In addition, because BAN is specifically expressed in the seed coat endothelium (Devic et al., 1999), we assume that TT8 mRNA is at least localized in the endothelium layer within the developing siliques. Interestingly, TT8 is not required for LDOX expression in siliques, as previously shown in seedlings (Pelletier et al., 1997). Therefore, additional independent regulatory elements may occur in the control of late biosynthetic steps.

Together, our findings strongly highlight the key regulatory role of TT8 in the control of two genes of the late flavonoid pathway in siliques: DFR is the first enzyme committed to the anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin biosynthesis, and BAN is at the branch point leading to the catechin and proanthocyanidin subpathway (Figure 1). These results are in agreement with the fact that TT8 begins to accumulate just before DFR and BAN transcripts during seed formation. However, because the amount of TT8 mRNA remains fairly constant throughout seed development, TT8 should not be the rate-limiting factor involved in the decrease in expression of structural genes observed from the curled cotyledon embryo stage onward (Figure 7B). Additional regulators may thus be involved in this process. Moreover, bHLH-related factors are well known to interact with other transcriptional factors. For instance, interactions between bHLH- and MYB-type plant proteins have been widely documented, especially in maize. Indeed, R has been shown to activate the transcription of several flavonoid structural genes in coordination with the C1 MYB protein (Goff et al., 1992; Lesnick and Chandler, 1998).

Expression of Flavonoid LBGs also Depends on TTG1 and TT2: New Clues into the Puzzle of Flavonoid Gene Regulation

Another important point of this study is provided by the analysis of flavonoid gene expression in two other mutants, ttg1-1 and tt2-3. Our results demonstrate that the TTG1 and TT2 loci are also necessary for the expression of DFR and
BAN genes in siliques. These data suggest that the TT2 protein is required for flavonoid regulation in seeds. Perhaps the TT8, TT2, and TTG1 genes might interact to modulate the activity of structural target genes. In preliminary experiments, the TT8 mRNA was decreased only in siliques of the ttg1-1 mutant, but no difference was observed in the tt2-3 mutant (data not shown). This result suggests that TTG1 is at least required for normal expression of TT8 in siliques, unlike TT2.

Several issues remain to be addressed to improve our knowledge of the flavonoid gene regulatory network in Arabidopsis. First, the relationships among the TT8, TT2, and TTG1 factors have to be further investigated. Information about the putative genetic interactions among these three loci will be obtained by analyses of the corresponding double mutant phenotypes as well as by biochemical studies of their flavonoid content. The recent molecular analysis of the TTG1 gene has shown that it encodes a WD40 repeat protein localized in the cytosol (Walker et al., 1999), which suggests the existence of an intermediate factor or factors downstream of TTG1 to control gene expression. The cloning of the TT2 gene will provide invaluable information about its function and its subcellular localization. We also plan to test the occurrence of in vivo molecular interactions among TT8, TT2, and TTG1 proteins. Moreover, examining whether TT8 (and perhaps TT2) can directly bind the DFR and BAN promoters in vivo and therefore promote the transcriptional activation of the corresponding genes will also be essential. Interestingly, within the first 200 bp of the DFR promoter are two putative bHLH recognition sequences (CAGCGTG) (Shirley et al., 1992); both match the binding consensus site for plant bHLH proteins (CANNTG; Abe et al., 1997). Similarly, the BAN sequence displays one putative binding site for bHLH proteins, a site located 150 bp upstream of the translation start codon (I. Debeaujon, unpublished results).

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that TT8, TTG1, and TT2 are involved in the control of late genes, thus confirming that the entire flavonoid pathway is not coordinately regulated, just as has been found for several species (Martin et al., 1991; Quattrocchio et al., 1993; Pelletier and Shirley, 1996; Pelletier et al., 1997). Further investigations are necessary to understand the regulatory mechanism. Interestingly, in petunia, bHLH, WD40, and MYB proteins have been proposed to act together on late flavonoid metabolism (reviewed in Mol et al., 1998). In addition to TT8, TTG1, and TT2, two other TT genes, TT1 (M. Sagasser, K. Hahlbrock, and B. Weisshaar, unpublished results) and TTG2 (C. Johnson and D.R. Smyth, personal communication) have been shown to encode regulatory factors in Arabidopsis. To date, how these regulatory loci control flavonoid metabolism in Arabidopsis has not yet been demonstrated.

METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana plants of the ecotype Wassilewskija (Ws-2) as well as tt8-3 (deb122) and tt2-3 mutant seeds (both from the Ws-2 ecotype) were obtained from the Station de Génétique et d’Amélioration des Plantes (INRA, Versailles, France). The tt8-1 (F107) and tt8-2 (F17) mutants originated from the Kranz and Röbbelen Arabidopsis Information Service collection. Both the tt8-1 allele and the corresponding Enkheim-2 (En-2) wild-type ecotype used for our studies were kindly provided by the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (UK) (Stock Centre seed nos. N111 and N1138, respectively). The ttg1-1 allele used for the expression analyses was a gift from B. Winkel-Shirley (Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg, WV).

Plants were routinely grown in a greenhouse (16-hr photoperiod; 10 to 15°C night/20 to 25°C day temperature) on sterilized compost irrigated twice a week with mineral nutrient solution. For crosses and seed production, plants were grown in individual pots. For plant transformation, batches of seeds were directly sown on 10 × 15-cm pots. Plantlets were thinned to 20 to 30 per pot at 2 weeks and then were allowed to grow until the flowering bolts were ~10 cm high and the first siliques appeared.

For aseptic growth, seeds were surface-sterilized and plated on Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium. Petri dishes were first incubated at 4°C for 48 to 72 hr to break dormancy and homogenize germination and then were kept in a growth chamber (16-hr photoperiod; 15°C night/20°C day temperature). Selection of T-DNA-transformed tt8-3 seeds was performed by germination on Murashige and Skoog medium containing kanamycin (Sigma) at 50 mg/L. To obtain young seedlings and root material for RNA analysis, we grew plantlets in vitro for 4 and 10 days, respectively, on BS mineral medium.
Cloning of the T-DNA–Flanking Genomic Sequences in tt8-3

Genomic DNA was isolated from 10-day-old plantlets grown in vitro by extraction with a hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide buffer, according to Doyle and Doyle (1990), and treated with RNase A (0.1 \( \mu \)g/\( \mu \)L). Genomic regions flanking the T-DNA insertion in the tt8-3 mutant were isolated by using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) walking approach. The procedure was essentially performed as described by Devic et al. (1997). Genomic DNA (\( \sim \)500 ng) from tt8-3 plants was digested with Scal or Dral restriction enzymes and ligated to an adapter duplex to produce two genomic walk libraries. T-DNA–flanking genomic regions were amplified by using a pair of nested primers specific to the adapter designed by Devic et al. (1997): AP1, 5'-GGATCCCTATTGCTAGCCGGGTA-3'; and AP2, 5'-CTATAGGGCTAGCCGGGTA-3'. A 1.8-kb fragment was amplified from the Scal library by using nested primers specific to the T-DNA left border; TailB, 5'-GGGTATTGTAATGGACACTGG-3'; and LBBAR1, 5'-CAACCTCCTAATGGAACCGGCGGA-3'. At the right border, a 600-bp fragment was obtained from the Dral library by using T-DNA primers: Tail2, 5'-TCGTTAAAACTGCCTGGCACAG-3' and RBGUS1, 5'-CCAGACTGAATGCCCACAGGCCGTA-3'. The PCR assays were performed with 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec (3 min for the first cycle), annealing at 60°C for 30 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 2 min and 30 sec (10 min for the last cycle). The amplified fragments were cloned into a pGEM-T plasmid according to the recommendations of the supplier (Promega) and sequenced. Two primers (deb122RB1, 5'-AGGAAGACAATCTCAAATCCAGC-3'; and deb122LB2, 5'-TCGTTAAAACTGCCTGGCACAG-3') were designed from plant genomic DNA and used to characterize the T-DNA target site in the wild-type genomic DNA. This primer set amplifies a 954-bp fragment on genomic DNA, which was used as a probe (deb122 probe) for subsequent genomic library screening. To recover a genomic clone containing the wild-type TT822 gene, we screened the Institut für Genbiologische Forschung bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library (Mozo et al., 1998) from the RessourcenZentrum/PrimärDatenbank im Deutschen HumanGenomProjekt (RZPD, Berlin, Germany) (see also http://www.rzpd.de/ for information).

Constructs and Plant Transformation

All constructs used for plant transformation were made in the pBI-B-Hyg T-DNA binary vector (Becker, 1990), which carries a hygromycin resistance marker for in vitro selection of the transformants. Intermediate constructs were introduced into the Escherichia coli DH12S and verified by restriction of plasmid DNA. Final constructs were introduced into the Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58C1(Rifampm90) strain (Koncz et al., 1984) by electroporation and checked by PCR assays using primers derived from sequences of both pBI-B-Hyg and the insert.

For complementation with the genomic fragment, \( \sim \)700 ng of BAC F17A8 was digested to completion with HindIII restriction enzyme. Restriction fragments were directly ligated into the HindIII site of the pBI-B-Hyg vector and introduced into E. coli. To recover clones carrying the 8-kb fragment corresponding to the DEB122 locus, we screened bacterial clones by colony hybridization with the deb122 probe. A positive clone named pBIB-Hyg-8 was isolated, checked by HindIII restriction, introduced into Agrobacterium, and used for plant transformation assays.

The construct for ectopic expression of the TT828 transcript was obtained as follows. Using primers complementary to the region upstream from the translation start site (deb122ATG, 5'-ATGGATTGAATCAATATTTCTCGG-3') and downstream from the stop codon (deb122Stop, 5'-CTATAGGAATCTGATATG-3'), we amplified the TT828 full-coding region (TT828-CR) from a green silique cDNA library (Giraudet et al., 1992) by PCR. The 1557-bp PCR fragment was directly blunt-end ligated (SMal) between the double-enhanced cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter and the CaMV polyadenylation signal of the pLBR19 vector (Guerineau et al., 1992). A clone carrying the TT828-CR fragment in sense orientation was isolated and sequenced to ensure that no mutation was introduced by the DNA polymerase. To raise transgenic plants, we excised the TOS-promoter::TT828-CR::Term overexpression cassette from pLBR19 as a Km-resistant fragment and cloned it into the KpnI-Sall-digested pBI-B-Hyg vector, thus generating the pBIB-TT828-CR plasmid.

Plant transformation was performed as described by Bechtold et al. (1993). T1 seeds were sown on Murashige and Skoog medium containing hygromycin (50 mg/L). Resistant T1 seedlings were transferred to soil to set seeds. The phenotype of T2 seeds was examined for phenotypic complementation of the mutation by the transgene.

RNA Analyses

For expression analyses in developing silique, individual flowers on the primary inflorescence were tagged on the day of pollination (stigma just extruded from the corolla). Siliques were harvested from 20 to 30 plants grown together. Each sample consisted of four adjacent immature siliques beginning with the first silique below the last flower. To determine precisely the embryo developmental stage prevailing during each stage, we removed seeds from siliques and cleared the seeds for 3 to 5 hr in a chloral hydrate–glycerol–water solution (8:2:1 [v/v/v]) on a microscope slide. Cleared seeds were observed with a Microphot-FXA (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) microscope equipped with Nomarski differential interference contrast optics. Stems and rosette leaves samples were harvested from 1-month-old plants.

Tissue samples were ground in liquid nitrogen, and total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The extracts were treated with 30 units of RNase-free DNase I (Qiagen) and eluted with 35 \( \mu \)L of diethyl pyrocarbonate–treated water. For reverse transcription (RT)–PCR studies, 5 \( \mu \)g of DNA-free RNA extract was converted for first-strand cDNA synthesis (Gibco BRL) and oligo(dT)12-18. The cDNA samples were diluted 10-fold, and 2 \( \mu \)L of dilution was amplified in a 50-\( \mu \)L PCR mixture containing DNA polymerase buffer (Gibco BRL), 2.5 mM MgCl2, 200 \( \mu \)M each of four deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 0.2 \( \mu \)M of each gene-specific primer, and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco BRL). PCR was conducted for 18, 21, 24, 35, or 40 cycles with the following thermal profiles: 94°C for 30 sec (3 min for the first cycle), 60°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 2 min 30 sec, with a 10-min terminal extension step at 72°C.

For quantitative RT-PCR assays, reactions were performed with 18, 21, and 24 cycles to ensure that amplifications were within the linear range. In this study, only the results obtained with 21 cycles are
presented, because their results were included in the linear zone. The PCR products were size-separated on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel, blotted onto positively charged nylon membrane (GeneScreen Plus; Du Pont), and hybridized with primed 32P-labeled fragments. Probes were labeled with α-32P-dCTP, using the random primers DNA labeling system kit (Gibco BRL). After overnight hybridization in a solution of 7% SDS, 0.25 M Na2HPO4/NaH2PO4, pH 7.2, and 2 mM EDTA at 65°C, blots were washed twice in 2× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl and 0.015 M sodium citrate), 0.5% sarcosyl, and 0.2% (v/v) Nitraum diphosphate for 15 min at 65°C before autoradiography.

To determine whether the same amounts of RNA had been sampled from the different tissues, we also tested two control primers, EF1αA4-UP (5'-ATGCCCCAGGACATCGTGAATTGATC-3') and EF1αA4-RP (5'-TTGGCCGCGACCCCTTAGGATCA-3'), designed from exons 1 and 2 of the EF1αA4 gene (GenBank accession number X18432), respectively, under the same conditions. The length of the amplified product from EF1αA4 transcripts was 706 bp. For mRNA detection of the genes of interest, the gene-specific primers used were as follows: for T7E, deb122RR (upstream primer) and deb122LB (reverse primer), 5'-TTCCACCTGACAAAGCTATG-3' and C4H (GenBank accession number U71080), 5'-CTGATTTCGACGACGATTGCC-3' and C4H-RP (5'-AGAAGCTGTTGGCTTCCGCC-3'); for CHS (M20308), CHS-UP (5'-ATGGCTGTGATCCTTGATG-3') and CHS-RP (5'-TCTCTGGACATGATGTTG-3') for DFR (M86359), DFR-UP (5'-ATGTTAGTCAGAAAGACCGG-3') and DFR-RP (5'-TTGTTATGACGAGATTGCC-3'); and for BAN (AF092912), BAN-R1 (5'-AACACTAATATCCTCTCAGG-3') and BAN-R2 (5'-GAATGAGCCACACAAGCTATAC-3'), which were designed by Devic et al. (1999). For each set of primers, the size of the amplified product was 780 bp for T7E, 670 bp for C4H, 725 bp for CHS, 670 bp for DFR, and 1210 bp for BAN. Each blot was repeated at least in triplicate with RNA from independent experiments.

The transcription start site of the T7E gene was localized by primer extension analysis with a gene-specific 27-mer oligonucleotide, which was complementary to the sense strand sequence of the T7E cDNA. Primer deb1222EXT (5'-GATTCTATCCATCGTCCCGGAGATACG-3'), from -16 to +11 relative to the transcription start site (ATG), was radiolabeled at its 5' terminus with T4 polynucleotide kinase and α-32P-dATP. Approximately 105 counts per minute of the radiolabeled primer was hybridized with 30 μg of total RNA, which was isolated from Arabidopsis green siliques. The hybridization mixture was incubated in a 65°C water bath for 90 min and then allowed to cool overnight to room temperature. After hybridization, first-strand cDNA was synthesized from the annealed primer by adding reverse transcriptase and deoxynucleotide triphosphates, as described above. The reaction was stopped by incubating at 70°C for 15 min. The reaction product was resuspended in sequencing gel loading buffer, denatured at 95°C, resolved by electrophoresis through a polyacrylamide-7 M urea gel, and visualized by autoradiography. To determine whether the same amounts of RNA had been sampled from the different tissues, we also tested two control primers, EF1αA4-UP (5'-ATGCCCCAGGACATCGTGAATTGATC-3') and EF1αA4-RP (5'-TTGGCCGCGACCCCTTAGGATCA-3'), designed from exons 1 and 2 of the EF1αA4 gene (GenBank accession number X18432), respectively, under the same conditions. The length of the amplified product from EF1αA4 transcripts was 706 bp. For mRNA detection of the genes of interest, the gene-specific primers used were as follows: for T7E, deb122RR (upstream primer) and deb122LB (reverse primer), 5'-TTCCACCTGACAAAGCTATG-3' and C4H (GenBank accession number U71080), 5'-CTGATTTCGACGACGATTGCC-3' and C4H-RP (5'-AGAAGCTGTTGGCTTCCGCC-3'); for CHS (M20308), CHS-UP (5'-ATGGCTGTGATCCTTGATG-3') and CHS-RP (5'-TCTCTGGACATGATGTTG-3') for DFR (M86359), DFR-UP (5'-ATGTTAGTCAGAAAGACCGG-3') and DFR-RP (5'-TTGTTATGACGAGATTGCC-3'); and for BAN (AF092912), BAN-R1 (5'-AACACTAATATCCTCTCAGG-3') and BAN-R2 (5'-GAATGAGCCACACAAGCTATAC-3'), which were designed by Devic et al. (1999). For each set of primers, the size of the amplified product was 780 bp for T7E, 670 bp for C4H, 725 bp for CHS, 670 bp for DFR, and 1210 bp for BAN. Each blot was repeated at least in triplicate with RNA from independent experiments.

The transcription start site of the T7E gene was localized by primer extension analysis with a gene-specific 27-mer oligonucleotide, which was complementary to the sense strand sequence of the T7E cDNA. Primer deb1222EXT (5'-GATTCTATCCATCGTCCCGGAGATACG-3'), from -16 to +11 relative to the transcription start site (ATG), was radiolabeled at its 5' terminus with T4 polynucleotide kinase and α-32P-dATP. Approximately 105 counts per minute of the radiolabeled primer was hybridized with 30 μg of total RNA, which was isolated from Arabidopsis green siliques. The hybridization mixture was incubated in a 65°C water bath for 90 min and then allowed to cool overnight to room temperature. After hybridization, first-strand cDNA was synthesized from the annealed primer by adding reverse transcriptase and deoxynucleotide triphosphates, as described above. The reaction was stopped by incubating at 70°C for 15 min. The reaction product was resuspended in sequencing gel loading buffer, denatured at 95°C, resolved by electrophoresis through a polyacrylamide-7 M urea gel, and visualized by autoradiography. To provide longer markers, we sequenced parts of the pB-SMB plasmid (Perkin-Elmer) with forward and reverse universal primers.

For the cloning of the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of T7E cDNA, two successive PCR amplifications were conducted with the cDNA library described by Giraudat et al. (1992). To this end, two specific nested primers from the T7E sequence, deb1222LB (5'-TGATCTTCCAGGTCTTCTCCTC-3') and deb1222LB (5'-GGAAGTAGGGCGGAAAGTAGGAGG-3'), were successively used in combination with the T7 primer, derived from the λ Zap II cloning vector (Stratagene). Primers deb1222-5' (5'-ATTTTTAAAGAGAGTACCCACG-3') and deb1222-3' (5'-AGTACTAATTTGAGAACGACCAA-3') allowed amplification of the full-length T7E cDNA.

Sequence Analysis

DNA sequences of all isolated clones were done by Genome Express (Evry, France). To manage the sequence data, the DNA Strider 1.3 program (March, 1988) was used. Similarity searches of the database were performed by using the NCBI BLAST server (http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/BLAST/). The protein molecular mass was estimated by using the MWCALC program, and the nuclear localization signal was located by using the PSORT program (Nakai and Kanehisa, 1992), both at Infobiogen (http://www.infobiogen.fr/services). To perform distance analysis among plant basic helix-loop-helix proteins (bHLH), an alignment of selected published bHLH amino acid sequences was generated with the ClustalX program (Thompson et al., 1997) and optimized manually. The final alignment file is available upon request. The matrix of distances was subjected to a cluster analysis by using the neighbor-joining program from the ClustalX package; for statistical analysis, 1000 bootstrap replications were performed. The consensus tree was established by using the TreeView program (version 1.5.3, available at http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/rod.html). The sequences were also used for maximum parsimony analysis with the Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (PAUP) program, with or without the PROTPARS matrix (PAUP version 3.1.1; developed by D.L. Swofford in 1990 at the Natural History Survey, Champaign, IL).
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